

Printable Nonenzymatic Glucose Biosensors Using Carbon Nanotube-PtNP Nanocomposites Modified with AuRu for Improved **Selectivity**

[Tran N. H. Nguyen,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Tran+N.+H.+Nguyen"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Xin Jin,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Xin+Jin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [James K. Nolan,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="James+K.+Nolan"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Jian Xu,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jian+Xu"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Khanh Vy H. Le,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Khanh+Vy+H.+Le"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Stephanie Lam,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Stephanie+Lam"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Yi Wang,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yi+Wang"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Muhammad A. Alam,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Muhammad+A.+Alam"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [and Hyowon Lee](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hyowon+Lee"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-8-0)

of biorecognition element degradation. However, these novel sensing mechanisms often are nanoparticle-based and have nonlinear responses, which makes it difficult to gauge their potential utility against more conventional enzymatic biosensors. Moreover, these nonenzymatic biosensors often suffer from poor selectivity that needs to be better addressed before being used in vivo. To address these problems, here we present an amperometric nonenzymatic glucose biosensor fabricated using one-step electrodeposition of Au and Ru nanoparticles on the surface of a carbonnanotube-based platinum−nanoparticle hybrid in conductive

polymer. Using benchtop evaluations, we demonstrate that the bimetallic catalyst of Au-Ru nanoparticles can enable the nonenzymatic detection of glucose with a superior performance and stability. Furthermore, our biosensor shows good selectivity against other interferents, with a nonlinear dynamic range of 1−19 mM glucose. The Au-Ru catalyst has a conventional linear range of 1–10 mM, with a sensitivity of 0.2347 nA/(µM mm²) ± 0.0198 (n = 3) and a limit of detection of 0.068 mM (signal-to-noise, S/ $N = 3$). The biosensor also exhibits a good repeatability and stability at 37 °C over a 3 week incubation period. Finally, we use a modified Butler−Volmer nonlinear analytical model to evaluate the impact of geometrical and chemical design parameters on our nonenzymatic biosensor's performance, which may be used to help optimize the performance of this class of biosensors. KEYWORDS: direct ink writing, nonenzymatic glucose biosensor, bimetallic system, nonlinear modeling

ENTRODUCTION

Over the years, enzymatic electrochemical biosensors based on glucose oxidase (GOx) have been popularized for the management of diabetes mellitus, owing to their good selectivity and high sensitivity. However, most of these enzyme-based glucose biosensors are disposable with limited functional lifetime.^{1-[4](#page-9-0)} One of the most recognized problems for the limited sensor lifetime is related to the intrinsic instability of enzymes. Although GOx is quite stable compared to others, enzymatic glucose biosensors are continuously exposed to the risk of thermal and chemical deformation during fabrication, storage, and usage. GOx rapidly loses activity below pH 2 or above pH 8, and it completely loses its functionality above 40 $^{\circ}$ C.^{[5](#page-9-0),[6](#page-9-0)} Consequently, biosensor fabrication, including enzyme immobilization and device sterilization, requires a careful planning to prevent chemical- and temperature-induced enzyme inactiva-tion.^{[6](#page-9-0)}

To circumvent the issue of enzyme degradation, significant efforts have been focused on investigating the electrocatalysis of glucose without using an enzyme as the biorecognition

molecule.[7](#page-9-0) The majority of nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose sensors generate an electrical current by directly oxidizing glucose on the electrode surface.^{[8](#page-9-0)} Nanoscopic electrodes, especially nanoporous electrocatalysts, are frequently employed due to their high active surface areas.^{[9](#page-9-0)} These are ideal for a kinetically controlled, surface-bound reaction such as direct glucose oxidation.^{[10](#page-9-0)−[12](#page-9-0)} Noble metal nanoparticles are often used for their excellent conductivity, catalytic properties, and large surface area to promote a good matrix for the bioadsorption of a molecule onto the surface.^{13,14} However, a major drawback of using single-metal metallic catalysts is that they oxidize various other endogenous interference species, such as L-ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), and 4-acetamidophenol

Received: May 1, 2020 Accepted: July 22, 2020 Published: July 27, 2020

Figure 1. (a) Photographs of a flexible nonenzymatic glucose biosensor on a LCP sheet (scale bars, 10 mm and 500 μ m). (b) Photographs of the nanocomposite before (left side) and after the electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs on the surface (right side) (scale bars, 200 μ m). (c and d) Schematic illustration of the possible mechanism for the electrocatalytic oxidation of glucose at Au-RuNPs on the MWCNT-based nanocomposite surface, along with possible chemical reactions between the metal nanoparticle surface and glucose molecules.

(AP), in the potential range, similarly to direct glucose oxidation, resulting in poor selectivity.

Bimetallic alloys of nanoparticles are promising alternatives to achieve better selectivity because they oxidize glucose at a lower potential.^{15−[17](#page-9-0)} In addition, they are known for their superior electrocatalytic activity compared to single metal catalysts.^{[18](#page-9-0)−[21](#page-9-0)} Thus, here we used electrodeposition of gold−ruthenium alloy nanoparticles (Au-RuNPs) to create a bimetallic coating to fabricate a more selective nonenzymatic glucose biosensor. Electrodeposition is a simple modification process that can produce a high-purity surface with a controllable particle size.²² The combination of Au and Ru in Au-RuNPs synergistically enhances the oxidative current from glucose and improves the selectivity compared to a single-metal system. Their synergistic effect is due to their surface electronic states, which are greatly affected by changes in geometric parameters. $23,24$

Moreover, the supporting materials also play a major role in the performance of electrocatalysts due to their interactions, which facilitates the catalytic activities between metallic catalysts and support materials.^{[25](#page-9-0)} To achieve a fine dispersion and high utilization, nanocatalysts are usually supported on high-surfacearea materials such as carbon nanotubes (CNT), carbon nanofibers, graphene, or activated carbon.^{[26](#page-9-0)–[30](#page-9-0)} This is due to the distinctive characteristics of such new carbon nanomaterials, such as more crystalline structures, high electrical conductivities, excellent corrosion resistances, and high purities. $31-33$ $31-33$ $31-33$ Hence, in this study, we employed our previously developed high-surfacearea Pt-nanoparticles (PtNPs) CNT-based nanocomposite electrodes, as an effective supporting material for one-step electrodeposition of AuNPs and RuNPs for direct oxidation of glucose.^{[34](#page-9-0)}

We used field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and amperometry to verify the structural and electrochemical properties of Au-RuNPs catalysts. We also investigated the effects of different electrodeposition times and Au-RuNPs

catalyst compositions on the electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity to glucose. We found that our bimetallic system simultaneously has a good sensitivity and a wider dynamic range than previously developed nonenzymatic sensors. We employed a nonlinear model to correlate the geometrical and chemical design parameters to the amperometric response of the sensor. This model confirmed our choice of using a Au-RuNP catalyst rather than other types of electroactive surfaces, including Pt− Ru or PtNPs-based (single metal catalyst) biosensors. Finally, we showed that this new nonenzymatic glucose biosensor has good stability, reproducibility, and selectivity, which may be suitable for in vivo glucose detection in the future.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemicals. Gold(III) chloride hydrate (HAuCl₄·xH₂O, ~50% Au basis), ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate (RuCl₃· xH_2O , 99.98% trace metals basis), chloroplatinic acid hydrate $(H_2PtCl_6 \cdot xH_2O) > 99.9\%$ trace metal basis), poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) (5 wt %), and platinum nanoparticles (<50 nm particle size) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Carboxylic-functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotube (COOH-MWCNT; outer diameter, 10−20 nm; length, 10−30 μm; purity, > 95 wt %) were bought from Cheap Tubes, Inc. (Grafton, Vermont). Sulfuric acid (H2SO4, 95−98%), 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), D-glucose, sucrose, lactose, and fructose were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), and 4-acetamidophenol (AP) were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Ag and Ag/AgCl ink (CI-1001) were purchased from Engineered Conductive Materials, Inc. (Delaware, OH). Elastomeric polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184) was purchased from Dow Corning (Midland, MI). Ecoflex (00-30) was purchased from Smooth-On (Macungie, PA). Deionized water (DI) was purified using Milli-Q (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Basal glucose concentrations from the whole blood and human serum were measured with test strips and a glucometer (DS-W, Auvon, Peachtree Corners, GA). Whole porcine fresh blood ($[glu\cose] = 2.585$ mM) was collected from the animal facility of the Biomedical Engineering Department at Purdue University. It was mixed with 10 USP units of heparin/mL and stored at 4 °C until measurements were taken. Human serum ([glucose] =

Figure 2. (a) Scanning electron micrographs of the nanocomposite (scale bars, 5μ m). Inset shows the transition electron micrographs of a MWCNTbased nanocomposite (scale bar 200 nm). (b) Scanning electron micrographs of Au−Ru nanoparticles on a MWCNT-based nanocomposite (scale bar, 250 nm). (c) EDX spectrum of a fabricated Au-RuNPs-nanocomposite. XPS spectra of a Au-RuNPs-nanocomposite: (d) Au 4f and (e) C 1s-Ru 3d.

4.785 mM) from human male AB plasma was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (H4522, St. Louis, MO).

Apparatus and Electrochemical Measurements. Electrochemical preparation of the sensors and in vitro experiments were conducted using a commercial benchtop potentiostat (SP-200, Biologic USA, LLC, Knoxville, TN, USA). All electrochemical evaluations were performed in 0.01 PBS (pH 7.4, 50 mL for all experiments). A conventional three-electrode cell was used for electrodeposition, with the prepared nanocomposite as the working electrode, the silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl/NaCl (3M), Biologic USA, LLC, Knoxville, TN, USA) as the reference electrode, and a graphite rod as the counter electrode. Two separated reference electrodes were used for electrodeposition and cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments. A scan rate of 20 mV/s or 100 mV/s was used for CV experiments with a 1 mV/s sampling interval. All CV experiments were performed in a quiescent solution. The investigation of glucose sensing was done using chronoamperometry. All amperometry data (i−t curve) were collected 10 min after the settling period, unless stated otherwise. The amperometry experiment was performed at a specified potential versus Ag/AgCl/3 M NaCl, with a 0.3 s sampling interval. All amperometry was performed in a solution stirred at 240 rpm and in a Faraday cage. Amperometry was also used to test the stability of the fabricated biosensors. The biosensors were stored dry in an oven at 37 °C when not in use.

For experiments in biological fluids, a Au-RuNP nanocomposite was used as the working electrode. Ag/AgCl ink and PtNP nanocomposite ink were printed as reference and counter electrode, respectively, as shown in [Figure 1a](#page-1-0). For each measurement, the three-electrode system was completely covered by 50 μ L of the sample.^{[35](#page-9-0)} For each sample, 40 μ L of whole blood or serum was mixed with 10 μ L of DI water with varying glucose concentrations, which produced different final glucose concentrations in the whole blood and human serum.

Surface Characterization. The surface morphology of the nanocomposite and Au-RuNP nanocomposite was observed using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, S-4800, Hitachi, Japan). The morphology of the MWCNT and PtNP base was also

characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2 20, FEI Company, OR). The elemental composition was determined using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) attached to the FESEM system. The element and chemical composition of the Au-RuNP surface was further characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a Kratos AXIS ultra-delay-line detector (DLD) imaging X-ray photoelectron spectrometer.

Synthesis of PtNP-MWCNT-Based Nanocomposite. To create the MWCNT-based nanocomposite, 25.32 mg of carboxylic-functionalized MWCNTs (1 wt %) and 126.58 mg of PtNPs (5 wt %) were first mixed with 582 μ L (11 wt %) of DMSO in a sonication bath for 2 h. The mixture was then added to 2000 mg of PEDOT:PSS ink. The mixture was then transferred to a planetary centrifugal mixer (ARE-310, Thinky U.S.A., Inc., Laguna Hills, CA) and mixed for 1 h. Finally, 379.75 mg (16 wt %) of Ecoflex was added, and the mixture was mixed for 10 min and degassed using a planetary centrifugal mixer for 1 h. The final mixture was dried at 60 °C in a vacuum for 1 h to remove the excess DMSO and to reach the desired viscosity for printing.

Direct Ink Writing of the Nanocomposite Electrode. The fabrication process utilized direct ink writing with conductive inks by using a commercial automated fluid dispensing system (Pro-EV 3, Nordson EFD, East Providence, RI). A custom glass capillary pipet with a 30 μ m diameter tip was fabricated to dispense microscale features. The nanocomposite ink was used to define the working electrode, and the conductive traces. The silver Ag ink was used to print the contact pads. PDMS was then printed over the device to insulate the biosensor, leaving only the working electrode exposed for electrochemical activity. By using a direct ink writing technique and our nanocomposite ink, the nonenzymatic glucose biosensor can be printed on any available substrate. [Figure 1](#page-1-0)a shows an example of a nonenzymatic biosensor, which was printed on a liquid crystal polymer (LCP) substrate. The nanocomposite working electrode was then used for one-step electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs for the direct oxidation of glucose. [Figure 1b](#page-1-0) on the left presents the nanocomposite surface before the electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs.

Synthesis of 1:3 Au-Ru Alloy Nanoparticles on a PtNP Nanocomposite Surface. The electrochemical deposition of Au-RuNPs on the nanocomposite was performed in 2.5 mM HAuCl_4 and 7.5 mM RuCl₃ in a 0.2 M H_2SO_4 aqueous solution. Prior to the experiment, a H_2SO_4 solution was deoxygenated with a high purity nitrogen gas for 30 min to remove the oxygen. $HAuCl₄$ and $RuCl₃$ were added to the H_2SO_4 solution, which was then sonicated in an ice bath for complete dissolution (∼5 h). The electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs was carried our for 180 s at −0.2 V versus Ag/AgCl. The temperature of the solution was controlled at 4 °C using an ice bath in order to obtain smaller particles. The obtained Au-RuNPs were washed with distilled water and then dried at room temperature. [Figure 1](#page-1-0)b (right) presents the surface of Au-RuNPs after electrodeposition on the nanocomposite electrode. This method was modified from the work by Xiao et al. 36 A different concentration at $3:1$ $HAuCl₄:RuCl₃$ was also tested to optimize for the best condition. As a result, $1:3$ $HAuCl₄:RuCl₃$ was chosen ([Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf). The electrodeposition time was also optimized between 120, 180, and 300 s ([Figure S2\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf). We explored different bimetallic systems such Pt-RuNPs before deciding on Au-RuNPs as the catalyst for nonenzymatic glucose sensor [\(Figure S3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf)).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological Analysis. We used FESEM and TEM to examine the morphology of the MWCNT-based nanocomposite and the Au-RuNP-modified surface. [Figure 2](#page-2-0)a presents the surface morphology of the resulting nanocomposite before electrodeposition. It displayed a rough morphology, which is likely due to the incorporation of PtNPs into the conductive polymer. We also used TEM to examine the composition of the nanocomposite ink. The inset of [Figure 2](#page-2-0)a presents the morphology of PtNPs in the MWCNT framework. TEM confirmed that PtNPs were aggregates of particles less than 50 nm in diameter. After the electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs on the surface of the nanocomposite, we used FESEM again to reexamine the modified surface. [Figure 2](#page-2-0)b shows the deposition of Au-RuNPs onto the surface. The nanoparticles were welldispersed, with an average size of around 50 nm. One of the key attributes associated with the superior electrocatalytic properties of these materials is high surface area because it increases molecular adsorption, O_2 reduction, and pseudocapacitive behavior.^{37,38} As such, the nanoporous morphology of our nanocomposite aggregate may improve the sensor performance.[39,40](#page-9-0)

Next, we characterized the elemental composition of the Au-RuNP-modified surface using EDX [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)c), which showed the weight percentage of each material. The EDX spectrum shows large peaks corresponding to Au (35.8 wt %), Ru (0.8 wt %), and Pt (13.7 wt %). The spectrum also has peaks corresponding to C, O, S, and Si, which indicates the presence of PEDOT:PSS and MWCNTs in the sample.

The XPS also confirmed the presence of Au and Ru [\(Figure](#page-2-0) [2](#page-2-0)d−f). The survey scan contains a graphitic C 1s peak at 282.1 eV, which overlaps with Ru 3d peaks. The XPS spectrum of the Au 4f core displays major peaks at 81.5 eV, corresponding to the binding energy of Au $4f_{7/2}$, and 85.2 eV, corresponding to the binding energy of $\text{Aut}_{5/2}$ ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)d). The XPS analysis is consistent with reports for Au $4f^{41}$ and indicates the successful formation of Au on the surface. 42 XPS spectra for C 1s-Ru 3d core appear in [Figure 2e](#page-2-0). The C 1s peak corresponds to the $sp²$ carbon atom, which occurs at 282.1 eV.^{[43](#page-10-0),[44](#page-10-0)} This peak consists of several overlapping individual peaks belonging to C 1s and Ru $3d_{3/2}$ photoelectrons. The other distinct peak at 279 eV corresponds to the binding energy of Ru $3d_{5/2}$ of metallic Ru^{0.[44](#page-10-0)} These results suggest the successful deposition of AuRu on the surface of the nanocomposite.

Figure 3. (a) Cyclic voltammetry images of a PtNP-MWCNT-based nanocomposite and Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensors in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4). Scan rate = 20 mV/s . (b) Cyclic voltammetry images of the nanocomposite biosensors in 2 mM glucose. Scan rate = 20 mV / s. (c) Cyclic voltammetry images of Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensors. Scan rate = 20 mV/s.

Although the amount of Ru nanoparticles was small at the surface of the biosensors ([Figure 2e](#page-2-0)), their presence was critical in creating the synergistic effects with Au nanoparticles to enable glucose measurements at −0.1 V and prevent electroactive interferences.^{[10](#page-9-0)} As shown in Figure $S4$, the nanocomposite

Figure 4. (a) Current−time curve obtained using our nanocomposite biosensor with the successive addition of glucose from 1 mM up to 29 mM with every 2 mM increment in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4). (b) Linear fit for glucose using the nanocomposite electrode compared to the nonlinear model of the steady-state response of the nanocomposite glucose sensor. (c) Current−time curve obtained with the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensor with the successive addition of glucose with every 2 mM increment in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) at different potentials. (d) Nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite electrode at different potentials. (e) Current−time curve obtained with the Au-RuNPbased nanocomposite biosensor and the nanocomposite with the successive addition of glucose at 2 mM increments in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) at−0.1 V. (f) Nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensor and the nanocomposite with the successive addition of glucose in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) at −0.1 V.

surfaces that were deposited with only Au nanoparticles were not able to detect glucose at −0.1 V. Moreover, we varied the RuCl₃ concentration in an electrodeposited solution and assessed the biosensors catalytic performances to further analyze the role of the RuNPs. [Figure S5a and b](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf) exhibit CVs of the AuNP nanocomposite, Au-RuNP (1:3) nanocomposite, and Au-RuNP (1:5) nanocomposite in a solution of 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) and 2 mM glucose at a scan rate of 20 mV/s. The Au-RuNP (1:5) electrode showed the highest currently density compared to the other electrodes in the PBS solution. However, in glucose-spiked samples, the anodic peak at -0.1 V, which is considered to be related to the direct electrooxidation of glucose, was the highest for Au-RuNPs (1:3). Thus, we used this composition as our electrode material to fabricate our nonenzymatic glucose biosensor.

Electrocatalytic Activity for Glucose Oxidation in Neutral Media. We assessed the catalytic performance of Au-RuNPs toward glucose oxidation in neutral media. [Figure 3](#page-3-0)a shows CVs of the nanocomposite and Au-RuNP-modified electrode in a solution of 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) at a scan rate of 20 mV/s. The Au-RuNP electrode exhibited higher current density values and a greater catalytic behavior than samples without Au-RuNPs. We also compared the CV profile of the nanocomposite in the presence of glucose at scan rate of 20 mV/ s ([Figure 3b](#page-3-0)). The nanocomposite exhibited anodic peaks at approximately -0.4 , + 0.2, and +0.6 V versus Ag/AgCl, which can be attributed to the multielectron transfer of glucose oxidation on the nanocomposite surface. $45,46$

After depositing Au-RuNPs, we saw two distinct cathodic reduction peaks at +0.05 V and −0.5 V. Previous studies on the electrocatalytic oxidation of organic molecules on bimetallic systems of noble metals have proposed a "bi-function mechanism", in which Ru dissociates water, leaving adsorbed OH species $(Ru(OH)_{ads})$.^{[47](#page-10-0)−[49](#page-10-0)} As such, we predicted that $Ru(OH)_{ads}$ reacted with Au to form gold hydroxide in the solution.⁴⁷ [Figure 3c](#page-3-0) shows that in 2 mM glucose in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4), Au-RuNPs show a high electroactivity toward glucose oxidation. We observed a large anodic peak at around +0.2 V from the forward scan, which suggests that the electrooxidation of glucose with Au-RuNPs may consist of multistep electrode reactions.^{[10](#page-9-0)[,47](#page-10-0)}

In addition, there is an anodic peak around −0.1 V, which is generally considered to be related to the direct electrooxidation of glucose adsorbed onto the catalyst. [Figure 1c](#page-1-0) and [Figure 1d](#page-1-0) show a possible mechanism of direct glucose oxidation on the surface of Au-RuNPs. Eqs 1−4 describe possible chemical reactions between the metal nanoparticle surface and glucose molecules. $Ru(OH)_{ads}$ species with many active sites on their surfaces would reduce the energy of OH[−] adsorption onto Au, leading to the enhancement of the direct electrooxidation of glucose corresponding to the anodic peak at around −0.1 V.

$$
C_6H_{12}O_6 + RuOH \stackrel{k_F}{\rightarrow} Ru[C_6H_{11}O_6]_{ads} + H_2O \tag{1}
$$

$$
C_6H_{12}O_6 + AuOH \stackrel{k_F}{\rightarrow} Au[C_6H11O_6]_{ads} + H_2O \tag{2}
$$

$$
Ru + OH^{-} \underset{k'_{k}}{\overset{k_{R}}{\rightleftharpoons}} RuOH + e^{-}
$$
\n(3)

$$
\text{Au} + \text{OH}^- \underset{k'_R}{\overset{k_R}{\rightleftharpoons}} \text{AuOH} + e^- \tag{4}
$$

Nonlinear Analytical Model. A linear approximation does not hold for a two-step reaction of the electrocatalytic oxidation of glucose, which includes oxidative adsorption of intermediates and follows with their oxidation. Furthermore, the geometrical and chemical features of sensors greatly impacts the linearity of the biosensor response. Therefore, it is necessary to have an accurate nonlinear model to explain the sensitivity of nonenzymatic glucose sensors. Recently, an analytical model has been developed, which attributed the linear range to the intrinsic properties of different reaction mechanisms.⁵⁰

In this study, a modified Butler−Volmer model was used and applied to our specific nanostructure surface. Au-RuNP nonenzymatic glucose detection is modeled as the two-step process described above (eqs 1–4). In this case, k_F represents the forward reaction rate, while k_R and k_K' represent the forward and reverse reaction constants, respectively, in eq 2 and 3. k_R and

Figure 5. (a) Interference study for the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) with the successive addition of glucose, fructose, sucrose, and lactose. (b) Interference study for the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) with the successive addition of glucose, AA, UA, and AP.

 $k_{\rm R}^{\prime}$ follow the Butler–Volmer equation with an electrode bias potential.

The model applies classical diffusion in eq 5. Eqs 5 and 6 quantify the mass transport of glucose, which is a diffusionlimited process in bulk solution, before they reach the electrode surface. In this case, natural convection from secondary transport phenomena is not considered. Since Au-RuNPs are immobilized on the nanocomposite electrode surface, the oxidation reaction only happens when glucose molecules diffuse near the electrode surface. Reaction flux is described in eq 6, and the surface glucose absorption flux is defined as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}G}{\mathrm{d}t} = D\nabla^2 G \tag{5}
$$

$$
J_{\rm rec} = k_{\rm F} N_{\rm M} G_{\rm s} \tag{6}
$$

with the glucose diffusion coefficient in the bulk sample solution D, glucose concentration G, surface density of metal nanoparticles N_M , and the bulk glucose concentration near the nanoparticle surface G_s . In eq 7, the reaction flux J_{rec} was coupled with the electrode surface density of $Au(OH)_{ads} - Ru(OH)_{ads}$ which can be presented as the sum of the forward and reverse reaction flux:

$$
\frac{dN_{M-OH}}{dt} = k_{F}N_{M}G_{s} - (k'_{R}N_{M-OH})
$$
\n(7)

where $N_{\rm M}$ and $N_{\rm M-OH}$ represent the surface densities of Au–Ru (M) and $Au(OH)_{ads}$ ⁻Ru(OH)_{ads} (M-OH), respectively, which follow the total metal elements conservation N_0 :

$$
N_{\rm M} + N_{\rm M-OH} = N_0 \tag{8}
$$

It is worth mentioning that pH plays an important role in nonenzymatic glucose sensing systems. Many studies have been conducted at pH > 9 to ensure sufficient OH[−] supply for the chemical reactions. Consequently, the concentration of OH[−] is not the rate-limiting factor but the surface density of $M(OH)_{ads}$ N_{M−OH}. Therefore, in our model, pH-dependence is not included. Moreover, all of the experiments in this study were conducted in PBS at pH 7.4. The model can be solved analytically to find the coupled diffusion and surface reaction rates from eqs 5−8. By applying "diffusion equivalent capacitance",^{[51](#page-10-0)} the steady-state diffusive flux of glucose in a bulk solution can be written as

$$
J_{\text{diff}} = C_{\text{D}} \cdot \frac{G_0 - G_s}{A_e} \tag{9}
$$

where C_D is the equivalent diffusion capacitance for the nanostructured electrode, G_s is the glucose concentration near the electrode surface, G_0 is the bulk glucose concentration, $50,52$ and A_e represents the surface area of a single nanoelectrode. The sensor response can be obtained by solving eqs 5−9 and rewriting the equations in a normalized form with unitless variables: $N_M^* = \frac{N_M}{N_0}$ $N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}}^{*} = \frac{N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}}}{N_{0}}$ $\frac{G_{\text{OH}}}{G_{\text{O}}}$, $G_{\text{s}}^* = \frac{G_{\text{s}}}{G_{\text{O}}}$ $\frac{G_s}{G}$, γ = $\frac{C_D}{A_e k_F N}$ $\frac{C_{\rm D}}{E_{\rm e} k_{\rm F} N_0}$ $\alpha = \frac{k_{\textrm{\tiny R}}}{k_{\textrm{\tiny F}} G_0'}$ $\frac{k_{\rm R}}{k_{\rm F}G}$ $\frac{k_{\rm R}}{k_{\rm F} G_0'}$, and $\beta = \frac{k'_{\rm R}}{k_{\rm F} G_0'}$ $\frac{\kappa_{\rm R}}{\kappa_{\rm F} G_0}$. More information on the exact solution can be found in a recent study from Jin et al., 2019.⁵⁰

Unknown variables $N^*_{\rm M}, N^*_{\rm M-OH},$ and $G^*_{\rm s}$ can be solved with an approximated expression for $N_{\rm M}^*$ and $N_{\rm M-OH}^*$:

$$
N_{\rm M}^* \approx \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + \beta + 1) - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}}
$$
 (10)

$$
N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}}^{*} = 1 - N_{\text{M}}^{*} \approx \frac{G_{0} + \left(\frac{k_{\text{R}}'}{k_{\text{F}}} - \frac{A_{\text{e}}k_{\text{R}}N_{0}}{C_{\text{D}}}\right)}{G_{0} + \left(\frac{k_{\text{R}} + k_{\text{R}}'}{k_{\text{F}}} - \frac{A_{\text{e}}k_{\text{R}}N_{0}}{C_{\text{D}}}\right)}
$$
(11)

The final amperometric response of the glucose sensor can be expressed as a function of $N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}}$:

$$
j = i/A_{\text{eff}} = q \cdot N_A \cdot (k_R N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}} - k_R' N_M) \approx q \cdot N_A \cdot (k_R + k_R').
$$

$$
N_0 \cdot \left(N_{\text{M}-\text{OH}}^* - \frac{k_R'}{k_R + k_R'} \right)
$$
 (12)

 A_{eff} describes the effective electrode surface area, and N_A is the Avogadro constant. On the basis of this model, the steady-state amperometric response of the Au-RuNP nonenzymatic sensors was modeled and is presented as solid lines in [Figure 4b](#page-4-0), [Figure](#page-4-0) [4](#page-4-0)d, and [Figure 4](#page-4-0)f.

Amperometric Response of Fabricated Biosensors for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection. We first investigated amperometric glucose sensing using the nanocomposite electrode ([Figure 4a](#page-4-0) and [Figure 4](#page-4-0)b). The nanocomposite at +0.5 V was able to nonenzymatically sense glucose in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4). [Figure 4](#page-4-0)b takes this calibration data and compares a linear fit to the nonlinear model. The root-mean-

Figure 6. Nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with the Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensor to different glucose concentrations in (a) human serum and (b) porcine whole blood $(n = 4)$ with a detection potential of −0.1 V versus Ag/AgCl. The stabilization time of the chronoamperometry is 4 min.

square error (RMSE) of the physics-based model is 0.0596, much less than the RMSE of a conventional linear fit of 0.1292. However, at this operating potential, the nanocomposite oxidizes interferents such as AA and AP much faster than it oxidizes glucose. We predicted that the Au-RuNP-modified electrode would have better selectivity than the nanocomposite alone.

In order to find an optimum operating potential, we calibrated the Au-RuNP biosensor at various potentials from −0.15 to +0.15 V to glucose in 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) ([Figure 4](#page-4-0)c). We found that the bias potential of −0.1 V versus Ag/AgCl resulted in the greatest sensitivity. [Figure 4](#page-4-0)d shows the impact of the electrode voltage bias by fitting the data from [Figure 4c](#page-4-0) to the nonlinear model. In this case, the surface density of Au–Ru, N_0 , and the forward reaction constant remain the same, while the voltage-dependent reaction constants, $k_{\rm R}$ and $k'_{\rm R}$, change as shown in [Table 1.](#page-4-0) At the optimum electrode bias potential, -0.1 V, k_{R} is maximized, and k_{R}^{\prime} is minimized. Therefore, we chose −0.1 V as the optimal potential for amperometric glucose sensing with Au-RuNP biosensors.

[Figure 4e](#page-4-0) shows the current response of Au-RuNPs and the nanocomposite to glucose while calibrated at −0.1 V versus Ag/ AgCl. [Figure 4](#page-4-0)f shows the steady-state amperometric response for both catalysts at a −0.1 V potential and the effect of adding Au-RuNPs on the surface of the nanocomposite. Au-RuNPs had a higher sensitivity compared to the nanocomposite alone. [Table](#page-4-0) [1](#page-4-0) shows that adding Au-RuNPs increases both the total metal catalyst density, N_0 , and the forward reaction constant, k_F .

[Eq 12](#page-6-0) may be used for calibrations over the full range of glucose concentrations, allowing the estimation of the uncertainty or saturation limit of the output current. On the other hand, a reasonable linear relationship between the steady state current and glucose concentration can be established between 1 and 10 mM with a sensitivity of 0.2347 nA/ (μM) mm^2) \pm 0.0198 (*n* = 3). The limit of detection was calculated to

be 0.068 mM (signal-to-noise, $S/N = 3$). [Table 2](#page-5-0) compares our nonenzymatic glucose biosensor to previously reported nonenzymatic glucose biosensors.

Selectivity, Reproducibility, Stability, Reusability, and Performance in Biological Fluids. The selectivity of nonenzymatic-based glucose sensors is a challenge due to the lack of a specific biorecognition agent. [Figure 5](#page-6-0)a shows that the Au-RuNP biosensor was selective to glucose over other sugars, including fructose, sucrose, and lactose. We also evaluated the response of our biosensor physiological levels of ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), and 4-acemitadophenol (AP). As seen in [Figure 5](#page-6-0)b, there is negligible interference from AA, UA, or AP. The selectivity of the nonenzymatic glucose sensor is a result of the lower operating potential used, below the peak oxidation potentials of the interferents.

Next, we evaluated the reproducibility and stability of our nonenzymatic glucose sensors. We tested the amperometric responses of 5 different Au-RuNP biosensors to 2 mM glucose independently and measured a relative standard deviation (RSD) value of 3.87%. We investigated the long-term stability of the sensors by monitoring the changes in biosensor sensitivity over 3 weeks. We stored the biosensors in an oven at 37 °C when not in-use. Our results showed that biosensors maintained more than 87% of their sensitivity to 2 mM glucose after 3 weeks ([Figure S6](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf)). This suggests that our nonenzymatic glucose sensor has a long-term stability at body temperature. Thus, we concluded our Au-RuNP-modified electrode is suitable for the fabrication of sensitive, repeatable, and stable nonenzymatic amperometric glucose sensors.

We also evaluated the reusability of the biosensor by calibrating the device multiple times at various glucose concentrations. In general, we observed decreased current responses with each calibration. However, we were also able to electrochemically regenerate the biosensor using five cycles of CVs (0 and 1.5 V in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 with a scan rate of 100 mV/

s).^{35,[53](#page-10-0)} [Figure S7](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf) shows a comparison of the normalized current density between the first and seventh calibration of our biosensor $(n = 5)$. We believe this result demonstrates the stability of our low-cost biosensor ([Figure S4\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf) and their potential application in a closed system that allows surface regeneration (i.e., lab-on-a-chip).^{[35,](#page-9-0)3}

Finally, we measured the glucose concentration from human serum and porcine whole blood samples directly using our biosensors at various simulated prediabetic and diabetic conditions. [Figure 6](#page-7-0) shows the amperometric responses for various glucose concentrations at a −0.1 V potential in human serum and porcine whole blood ($n = 4$ each). We saw substantial changes in current responses between health an prediabetic ranges in both serum and blood. We believe these results demonstrate the capability of our biosensors to differentiate physiologically relevant glucose concentrations in biological media. By taking the nonlinear responses of these nonenzymatic biosensors into account, we may also be able to improve the range and performance of these biosensors for future in vitro and in vivo diagnostic applications.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, we have successfully developed a nonenzymatic glucose sensor using a one-step electrodeposition of Au-RuNPs on MWCNT-based nanocomposites. The nanocomposite electrodes were fabricated using a rapid and template-free method. In this study, direct glucose oxidation on the nanocomposite electrode was investigated both using voltammetric and amperometric methods. Additionally, a nonlinear model was used to correlate the geometrical and chemical design parameters to the nonlinear response of the glucose sensor. The Au-RuNP electrode gave a good current response to glucose, owing to its high active surface area and the synergistic effect of Au and Ru on the surface, as well as due to PtNPs and MWCNTs underneath. The fabricated sensor also provided good reproducibility, selectivity, and stability for glucose determination, which are necessary attributes for future in vivo and other applications.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

³ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647?goto=supporting-info)

Current−time curve and nonlinear feature of the steadystate response for glucose with Au-RuNP biosensors with two different electrodeposition concentrations of HAuCl₄ to $RuCl₃$; summary of key fitting parameters in the simulation for the experiment with two different electrodepositing concentrations of $HAuCl₄$ to $RuCl₃$; current− time curve and nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with Au-RuNP biosensors with different electrodepositing times; summary of key fitting parameters in the simulation for the experiment with different electrodepositing times; current−time curve and nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with Au-RuNP biosensors with two different bimetallic systems; summary of key fitting parameters in the simulation for the experiment with two different bimetallic systems; current−time curve and nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with Au-RuNP biosensors and Au nanocomposite biosensors; cyclic voltammetry of the Au-RuNP-based (1:3) nanocomposite, Au-RuNP-based (1:5) nanocomposite, and Au-based nanocomposite biosensors; biosensor lifetime measurement; nonlinear feature of the steady-state response for glucose with Au-RuNP-based nanocomposite biosensors through continuous calibration cycles ([PDF](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647/suppl_file/ab0c00647_si_001.pdf))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Hyowon Lee − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-7628-1441; Email: [hwlee@](mailto:hwlee@purdue.edu) [purdue.edu](mailto:hwlee@purdue.edu)

Authors

- Tran N. H. Nguyen − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States; Orcid.org/0000-0002-6361-9324
- Xin Jin − School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-4117-4344
- James K. Nolan − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States
- Jian Xu − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States
- Khanh Vy H. Le − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States
- Stephanie Lam − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States
- Yi Wang − Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Birck Nanotechnology Center, Center for Implantable Devices, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States
- Muhammad A. Alam − School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.0c00647?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (United States), under grants ECCS-1944480 and CNS-1726865, and by the Global Research Outreach program of the Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology. We would like to thank Dr. Dmitry Zemlyanov for conducting XPS at the Surface Analysis Facility of the Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Zhu, C.; Yang, G.; Li, H.; Du, D.; Lin, Y. [Electrochemical Sensors](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac5039863) [and Biosensors Based on Nanomaterials and Nanostructures.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac5039863) Anal. Chem. 2015, 87, 230−249.

(2) American Diabetes Association[. Diagnosis and Classification of](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc10-S062) [Diabetes Mellitus.](https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc10-S062) Diabetes Care 2010, 33, S62−S69.

(3) Nichols, S. P.; Koh, A.; Storm, W. L.; Shin, J. H.; Schoenfisch, M. H[. Biocompatible Materials for Continuous Glucose Monitoring](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr300387j) [Devices.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr300387j) Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 2528−2549.

(4) Shaw, J. E.; Sicree, R. A.; Zimmet, P. Z[. Global Estimates of The](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2009.10.007) [Prevalence of Diabetes for 2010 and 2030.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2009.10.007) Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2010, 87, 4−14.

(5) Wilson, R.; Turner, A. P. F. [Glucose Oxidase: an Ideal Enzyme.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0956-5663(92)87013-F) Biosens. Bioelectron. 1992, 7, 165−185.

(6) Park, S.; Boo, H.; Chung, T. D. [Electrochemical Non-enzymatic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.05.080) [Glucose Sensors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.05.080) Anal. Chim. Acta 2006, 556, 46−57.

(7) Si, P.; Huang, Y.; Wang, T.; Ma, J[. Nanomaterials for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ra22360k) [Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ra22360k) RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 3487−3502.

(8) Hwang, D. W.; Lee, S.; Seo, M.; Chung, T. D. [Recent Advances in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.05.051) [Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors-A Review.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.05.051) Anal. Chim. Acta 2018, 1033, 1−34.

(9) Bae, J. H.; Han, J. H.; Chung, T. D. [Electrochemistry at](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C1CP22927C) [Nanoporous Interfaces: New Opportunity for Electrocatalysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C1CP22927C) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 448−463.

(10) Si, P.; Huang, Y.; Wang, T.; Ma, J. [Nanomaterials for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ra22360k) [Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ra22360k) RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 3487.

(11) Toghill, K. E.; Compton, R. G. Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors: A perspective and an evaluation. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 2010, 5, 1246−1301.

(12) Gollas, B.; Elliott, J. M.; Bartlett, P. N. [Electrodeposition and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0013-4686(00)00464-3) [Properties of Nanostructured Platinum Films Studied by Quartz](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0013-4686(00)00464-3) [Crystal Impedance measurements at 10 MHz.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0013-4686(00)00464-3) Electrochim. Acta 2000, 45, 3711−3724.

(13) Zhao, S.; Zhang, K.; Bai, Y.; Yang, W.; Sun, C[. Glucose Oxidase/](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2006.01.001) [Colloidal Gold Nanoparticles Immobilized in Nafion Film on Glassy](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2006.01.001) [Carbon Electrode: Direct Electron Transfer and Electrocatalysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2006.01.001) Bioelectrochemistry 2006, 69, 158−163.

(14) Yang, J.; Jiang, L. C.; Zhang, W. D.; Gunasekaran, S[. A Highly](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.03.047) [Sensitive Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor based on a Simple Two-step](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.03.047) [Electrodeposition of Cupric Oxide \(CuO\) Nanoparticles onto Multi](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.03.047)[walled Carbon Nanotube Arrays.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.03.047) Talanta 2010, 82, 25−33.

(15) Wang, J.; Thomas, D. F.; Chen, A. [Nonenzymatic Electro](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac701790z)[chemical Glucose Sensor based on Nanoporous PtPb Networks.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac701790z) Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 997−1004.

(16) Nantaphol, S.; Watanabe, T.; Nomura, N.; Siangproh, W.; Chailapakul, O.; Einaga, Y. [Bimetallic Pt-Au Nanocatalysts Electro](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.06.034)[chemically Deposited on Boron-doped Diamond Electrodes for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.06.034) [Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.06.034) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2017, 98, 76− 82.

(17) Holt-Hindle, P.; Nigro, S.; Asmussen, M.; Chen, A. [Amperometric Glucose Sensor based on Platinum-Iridium Nanoma](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2008.07.042)[terials.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2008.07.042) Electrochem. Commun. 2008, 10, 1438−1441.

(18) Duan, S.; Wang, R. [Bimetallic Nanostructures with Magnetic and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2013.02.001) [Noble Metals and their Physicochemical Applications.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2013.02.001) Prog. Nat. Sci. 2013, 23, 113−126.

(19) Yang, J.; Chen, X.; Yang, X.; Ying, J. Y[. Stabilization and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ee22172a) [Compressive Strain Effect of AuCu Core on Pt Shell for Oxygen](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ee22172a) [Reduction Reaction.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ee22172a) Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 8976−8981.

(20) Ferrando, R.; Jellinek, J.; Johnston, R. L. [Nanoalloys: From](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr040090g) [Theory to Applications of Alloy Clusters and Nanoparticles.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr040090g) Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 845−910.

(21) Guisbiers, G.; Mejia-Rosales, S.; Khanal, S.; Ruiz-Zepeda, F.; Whetten, R. L.; José-Yacaman, M. [Gold-copper Nano-alloy,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl503584q) "Tumbaga "[, in the Era of Nano: Phase Diagram and Segregation.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl503584q) Nano Lett. 2014, 14, 6718−6726.

(22) Hwang, C. B.; Fu, Y. S.; Lu, Y. L.; Jang, S. W.; Chou, P. T.; Wang, C. R.; Yu, S. J. [Synthesis, Characterization, and Highly Efficient](https://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jcat.2000.2992) [Catalytic Reactivity of Suspended Palladium Nanoparticles.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jcat.2000.2992) J. Catal. 2000, 195, 336−341.

(23) Wang, X.; Zhu, Y.; Vasileff, A.; Jiao, Y.; Chen, S.; Song, L.; Zheng, B.; Zheng, Y.; Qiao, S. Z[. Strain Effect in Bimetallic Electrocatalysts on](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00454) [the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00454) ACS Energy Letters 2018, 3, 1198− 1204.

(24) Mueller, J. E.; Krtil, P.; Kibler, L. A.; Jacob, T. [Bimetallic Alloys in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CP01591F) [Action: Dynamic Atomistic Motifs for Electrochemistry and Catalysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CP01591F) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2014, 16, 15029−15042.

(25) Hsu, N. Y.; Chien, C. C.; Jeng, K. T[. Characterization and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.03.018) [Enhancement of Carbon Nanotube-Supported PtRu Electrocatalyst for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.03.018) [Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Applications.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.03.018) Appl. Catal., B 2008, 84, 196− 203.

(26) Sun, Z.; Li, Z.; Huang, C.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Tao, R.; Liu, Z. [Ultrasonication-assisted Uniform Decoration of Carbon Nanotubes by](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2011.06.030) [Various Particles with Controlled Size and Loading.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2011.06.030) Carbon 2011, 49, 4376−4384.

(27) Steigerwalt, E. S.; Deluga, G. A.; Lukehart, C. M[. Pt-Ru/carbon](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp012707t) [fiber nanocomposites: Synthesis, characterization, and performance as](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp012707t) [anode catalysts of direct methanol fuel cells. A search for exceptional](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp012707t) [performance.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp012707t) J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 760−766.

(28) Gallego, J.; Tapia, J.; Vargas, M.; Santamaria, A.; Orozco, J.; Lopez, D[. Synthesis of Graphene-coated Carbon Nanotubes-Supported](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.10.014) [Metal Nanoparticles as Multifunctional Hybrid Materials.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.10.014) Carbon 2017, 111, 393−401.

(29) Nguyen, T. N.; Nolan, J.; Cheng, X.; Park, H.; Wang, Y.; Lam, S.; Lee, H.; Kim, S. J.; Shi, R.; Chubykin, A. A.; Lee, H. [Fabrication and Ex](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2020.114136) [Vivo Evaluation of Activated Carbon-Pt Microparticle Based](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2020.114136) [Glutamate Biosensor.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2020.114136) J. Electroanal. Chem. 2020, 866, 114136.

(30) Nolan, J. K.; Nguyen, T. N.; Le, K. V. H.; DeLong, L. E.; Lee, H. [Simple Fabrication of Flexible Biosensor Arrays Using Direct Writing](https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2472630319888442) [for Multianalyte Measurement from Human Astrocytes.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2472630319888442) SLAS Technology 2020, 25, 33−46.

(31) Kingston, C.; Zepp, R.; Andrady, A.; Boverhof, D.; Fehir, R.; Hawkins, D.; Roberts, J.; Sayre, P.; Shelton, B.; Sultan, Y.; Vejins, V.; Wohlleben, W[. Release Characteristics of Selected Carbon Nanotube](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2013.11.042) [Polymer Composites.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2013.11.042) Carbon 2014, 68, 33−57.

(32) Tian, K.; Prestgard, M.; Tiwari, A[. A Review of Recent Advances](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.04.013) [in Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.04.013) Mater. Sci. Eng., C 2014, 41, 100− 118.

(33) Kim, J. H.; Nam, K. W.; Ma, S. B.; Kim, K. B. [Fabrication and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2006.02.002) [Electrochemical Properties of Carbon Nanotube Film Electrodes.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2006.02.002) Carbon 2006, 44, 1963−1968.

(34) Nguyen, T. N.; Nolan, J. K.; Park, H.; Lam, S.; Fattah, M.; Page, J. C.; Joe, H. E.; Jun, M. B.; Lee, H.; Kim, S. J.; Shi, R.; Lee, H. [Facile](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.051) [Fabrication of Flexible Glutamate Biosensor using Direct Writing of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.051) [Platinum Nanoparticle-based Nanocomposite Ink.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.051) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2019, 131, 257−266.

(35) Márquez, A.; Jiménez-Jorquera, C.; Domínguez, C.; Muñoz-Berbel, X. [Electrodepositable alginate membranes for enzymatic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.05.051) [sensors: An amperometric glucose biosensor for whole blood analysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.05.051) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2017, 97, 136−142.

(36) Xiao, F.; Zhao, F.; Mei, D.; Mo, Z.; Zeng, B. [Nonenzymatic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.04.045) [Glucose Sensor based on Ultrasonic-electrodeposition of Bimetallic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.04.045) [PtM \(M = Ru, Pd and Au\) Nanoparticles on Carbon Nanotubes-ionic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.04.045) [Liquid Composite Film.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2009.04.045) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2009, 24, 3481−3486.

(37) Sokolov, S. V.; Tschulik, K.; Batchelor-McAuley, C.; Jurkschat, K.; Compton, R. G[. Reversible or Not? Distinguishing Agglomeration](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02639) [and Aggregation at the Nanoscale.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02639) Anal. Chem. 2015, 87, 10033− 10039.

(38) Lang, X.; Hirata, A.; Fujita, T.; Chen, M[. Three-dimensional](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201301809) [Hierarchical Nanoporosity for Ultrahigh Power and Excellent](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201301809) [Cyclability of Electrochemical Pseudocapacitors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201301809) Adv. Energy Mater. 2014, 4, 1301809.

(39) Lim, C. S.; Tan, S. M.; Sofer, Z.; Pumera, M. [Impact](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b03357) [Electrochemistry of Layered Transition Metal Dichalcogenides.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b03357) ACS Nano 2015, 9, 8474−8483.

(40) Jiao, X.; Sokolov, S. V.; Tanner, E. E. L.; Young, N. P.; Compton, R. G. [Exploring Nanoparticle Porosity using Nano-impacts: Platinum](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6CP07910E) [Nanoparticle Aggregates.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6CP07910E) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 64−68.

(41) Govindasamy, M.; Manavalan, S.; Chen, S.-M.; Rajaji, U.; Chen, T.-W.; Al-Hemaid, F. M. A.; Ali, M. A.; Elshikh, M. S. [Determination of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.1351809jes) [Neurotransmitter in Biological and Drug Samples using Gold](https://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.1351809jes) [Nanorods Decorated f-MWCNTs Modified Electrode.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.1351809jes) J. Electrochem. Soc. 2018, 165, B370−B377.

(42) Xue, J.; Ma, S.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, Z.; He, M[. Facile Photochemical](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b01212) Synthesis of $Au/Pt/g-C_3N_4$ [with Plasmon-enhanced Photocatalytic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b01212) [Activity for Antibiotic Degradation.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b01212) ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 9630−9637.

(43) Agnès, C.; Arnault, J. C.; Omnès, F.; Jousselme, B.; Billon, M.; Bidan, G.; Mailley, P. [XPS Study of Ruthenium Tris-bipyridine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b912468c) [Electrografted from Diazonium Salt Derivative on Microcrystalline](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b912468c) [Boron Doped Diamond.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b912468c) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 11647-11654.

(44) Peng, Y.; Pan, W.; Wang, N.; Lu, J. E.; Chen, S[. Ruthenium Ion-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701880)[Complexed Graphitic Carbon Nitride Nanosheets Supported on](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701880) [Reduced Graphene Oxide as High-Performance Catalysts for Electro](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701880)[chemical Hydrogen Evolution.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701880) ChemSusChem 2018, 11, 130−136.

(45) Wei, G.; Xu, F.; Li, Z.; Jandt, K. D[. Protein-promoted Synthesis of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp202324q) [Pt Nanoparticles on Carbon Nanotubes for Electrocatalytic Nano](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp202324q)[hybrids with Enhanced Glucose Sensing.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp202324q) J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 11453−11460.

(46) Rathod, D.; Dickinson, C.; Egan, D.; Dempsey, E. [Platinum](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.09.064) [Nanoparticle Decoration of Carbon Materials with Applications in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.09.064) [Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2009.09.064) Sens. Actuators, B 2010, 143, 547− 554.

(47) Yi, Q.; Yu, W.; Niu, F. [Novel Nanoporous Binary Au-Ru](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.200900404) [Electrocatalysts for Glucose Oxidation.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.200900404) Electroanalysis 2010, 22, 556− 563.

(48) Liu, H.; Song, C.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, J.; Wang, H.; Wilkinson, D. P[. A Review of Anode Catalysis in The Direct Methanol Fuel Cell.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.01.030) J. Power Sources 2006, 155, 95−110.

(49) Seland, F.; Tunold, R.; Harrington, D. A[. Impedance Study of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.12.021) [Formic Acid Oxidation on Platinum Electrodes.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.12.021) Electrochim. Acta 2008, 53, 6851−6864.

(50) Jin, X.; Alam, M[. Generalized Modeling Framework of Metal](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2919462) [Oxide-based Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor: Concepts, Methods, and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2919462) [Challenges.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2919462) IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 2020, 67, 679−687.

(51) Nair, P. R.; Alam, M. A[. Performance Limits of Nanobiosensors.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2211310) Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006, 88, 233120.

(52) Nair, P. R.; Alam, M. A[. A Compact Analytical Formalism for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C2AN35346F) [Current Transients in Electrochemical Systems.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C2AN35346F) Analyst 2013, 138, 525−538.

(53) Lee, W. C.; Kim, K. B.; Gurudatt, N. G.; Hussain, K. K.; Choi, C. S.; Park, D. S.; Shim, Y. B. [Comparison of enzymatic and non-enzymatic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.028) [glucose sensors based on hierarchical Au-Ni alloy with conductive](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.028) [polymer.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.01.028) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2019, 130, 48−54.

(54) Economou, A.; Kokkinos, C.; Prodromidis, M. [Flexible plastic,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C8LC00025E) [paper and textile lab-on-a chip platforms for electrochemical](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C8LC00025E) [biosensing.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C8LC00025E) Lab Chip 2018, 18, 1812−1830.

(55) Li, L. H.; Zhang, W. D.; Ye, J. S[. Electrocatalytic Oxidation of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.200804312) [Glucose at Carbon Nanotubes Supported PtRu Nanoparticles and its](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.200804312) [Detection.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.200804312) Electroanalysis 2008, 20, 2212−2216.

(56) Ryu, J.; Kim, K.; Kim, H. S.; Hahn, H. T.; Lashmore, D[. Intense](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.07.021) [Pulsed Light Induced Platinum-gold Alloy Formation on Carbon](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.07.021) [Nanotubes for Non-enzymatic Glucose Detection.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2010.07.021) Biosens. Bioelectron. 2010, 26, 602−607.

(57) Singh, B.; Laffir, F.; McCormac, T.; Dempsey, E[. PtAu/C based](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.07.039) [Bmetallic Nanocomposites for Non-enzymatic Electrochemical](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.07.039) [Glucose Detection.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.07.039) Sens. Actuators, B 2010, 150, 80−92.

(58) Wang, J.; Zhao, D.; Xu, C. [Nonenzymatic Electrochemical](https://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2016.11377) [Sensor for Glucose Based on Nanoporous Platinum-Gold Alloy.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2016.11377) J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2016, 16, 7145−7150.

(59) Kwon, S. Y.; Kwen, H. D.; Choi, S. H[. Fabrication of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/784167) [Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensors based on Multiwalled Carbon](https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/784167) Nanotubes with Bimetallic Pt-M($M = Ru$ and Sn) Catalysts by [Radiolytic Deposition.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/784167) J. Sens. 2012, 2012, 784167.

(60) Bo, X.; Bai, J.; Yang, L.; Guo, L. [The Nanocomposite of PtPd](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.05.050) [Nanoparticles/Onion-like Mesoporous Carbon Vesicle for Non](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.05.050)[enzymatic Amperometric Sensing of Glucose.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.05.050) Sens. Actuators, B 2011, 157, 662−668.

(61) Liu, Y.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Lei, Y. [Pt-Au Nanocorals, Pt](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.06.009) [Nanofibers and Au Microparticles Prepared by Electrospinning and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.06.009)

[Calcination for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing in Neutral and Alkaline](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.06.009) [Environment.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.06.009) Sens. Actuators, B 2012, 171−172, 954−961.